

**THEY
SAID
IT
COULDN'T
BE
DONE!**

By MYRON C. FAGAN

No. 72

WHAT THE PEOPLE SAY

We called our last "News-Bulletin" (No. 71) "THE 'CULTURAL EXCHANGE' DECEPTION". The title was thus worded to establish that the "Cultural Exchange" was devised to further the plot of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy to destroy the United States. But the mission of the "News-Bulletin" itself was to clearly reveal how President Eisenhower has been (secretly) collaborating with that deception — *and with the entire Conspiracy* — and to emphasize our contention that he should be impeached and then tried for treason, exactly as the French tried Pierre Laval.

Within the first week after we issued that "News-Bulletin" we received 418 letters and telegrams. They came from every state in the nation.

At first glance, that may seem to be an insignificant trickle. But, actually, it is *very* significant — usually we get very few reactions until at least two to three weeks after the mailing of our "News-Bulletins". That significance is heightened by the heat that "News-Bulletin" engendered in the writers of those letters and telegrams.

There is still another significant note about it: today we (apparently) depend upon Crystal-Ball gazer George Gallup, and other so-called pollsters, to tell us how the public thinks. They tell us what we like to eat—and what to drink; they told us how we felt—and how we feel—about Krushchev's visit; they tell us how popular Eisenhower is; they tell us how we are going to vote. For instance, Mr. Gallup unhesitatingly tells us that 51 per cent of the people favor Nixon for the Presidency and 49 per cent favor young Kennedy. He tells us the percentages for and against Stevenson, Lyndon Johnson, Rockefeller, etc., etc. How does he know all that? Easy! His "reporters" question (*at the very most*) a few thousand unsuspecting and unwary people. He (Gallup) then separates the answers into the various percentages — *and thus we have the thinking of 177 million Americans!* Simple — eh, what?

By that token, our 418 letters and telegrams (*and those that will follow*) are fully as enlightening as a Gallup poll — and certainly as reliable, inasmuch as our "answers" are voluntary and not haphazard responses to tricky questions. Now let's see what our "poll" tells us.

Eleven letters (*approximately 2-1/2 per cent of the 418*) charge me with being a vicious hate (and war) monger. They embroidered

those charges with anti-semitic, anti-negro, "enemy of the people", — all the well known Commie-line cliches. And, of course, they execrate me for "defiling" the memory of that "great humanitarian" (FDR) and for "vilifying" their present God-man, Eisenhower. I won't waste space to classify those eleven — except that they clearly indicate that their favorite color is Red.

Forty-three others (*approximately 10 per cent*), while not as vituperative, are more or less equally shocked by my "intemperate" criticism of Eisenhower. But for a different reason: *they* like Ike — *no matter what!* They readily agree that he is not a Napoleon — they agree that he is a far cry from the genius Administrator he was cracked up to be — they agree that he has been just as guilty of "broken promises" and political "subterfuges" as were Truman and Roosevelt before him. In short, they admit that he is not the prize package the American people thought they were "buying" in 1952. But they *like* him — and they feel that my criticisms are too harsh. Some frankly admit that he is just an ordinary man trying to do a job for which he was not trained — and for which he lacks the necessary aptitude. But they insist that he is innately honest and sincere — that he "accepted" the Presidential job only because he (truly) thought (or hoped) that he could render a great service for the nation and "preserve peace" for the world. They emphasize that opinion by reminding how "reluctant" he was when he was first "offered" the Presidency — that, in fact, he was actually "drafted". As for his "broken promises" and political "subterfuges", they insist that they were honest "mistakes", due entirely to "bad advisors".

I don't have to read between the lines in those 43 letters to classify the writers. They are devoted members of the "*I like Ike*" cult. Utterly bewitched and bemused, these fanatics would refuse to believe that their God-man could be wrong even if they actually saw him slit the throat of a helpless infant. Ordinarily I wouldn't argue Eisenhower with a consecrated "I-like-Iker" any more than I would debate Marx with a dedicated Communist. But their final summation (*it might even be considered a warning*) of my "libelous criticism" of their idol is a bit too much to pass with complete silence. They point out that the Presidency of the United States is a sacred office — that the man who occupies that office is a sacred person. Therefore, they assert, Mr. Eisenhower, as our President, is entitled to the support and *unswerving loyalty* of all of the American people — that anything less than that is akin to treason.

Now, of course, these people confuse the man with the office. Theodore Roosevelt, unquestionably the greatest President we've

had in this century, exploded that theory with the following statement:

"PATRIOTISM MEANS TO STAND BY THE COUNTRY. It does not mean to stand by the President or any other public official save exactly to the degree in which he himself stands by the country. It is patriotic to support him insofar as he efficiently serves the country. IT IS UNPATRIOTIC NOT TO OPPOSE HIM TO THE EXACT EXTENT THAT BY INEFFICIENCY OR OTHERWISE HE FAILS IN HIS DUTY TO STAND BY THE COUNTRY.

"In either event, it is unpatriotic not to tell the truth — whether about the President or anyone else — save in the rare cases where this would make known to the enemy information of military value which would otherwise be unknown to him."

In other words, it is the office that is sacred, not the man. By that token, if the man who holds that office defiles it, he is all the more to be condemned. And those who place the man above the office, who condone his defilement of that office, are equally guilty (*although perhaps unwittingly*) of disloyalty to Country.

Forty-seven others of the 418 (approximately 11 per cent) are in complete accord with my appraisals of Eisenhower. They heartily endorse George B. Fowler's condemnation of Eisenhower, of Nixon, of Earl Warren and the other Supreme Court Justices. But they contend we are aiming at the moon — because, they say, there is no legal machinery for the impeachment of a President of the United States.

I presume there are *many* people in that category — many who would have given a great deal to have seen Franklin Roosevelt and Truman, *and now Eisenhower*, hurled out of the White House, but who believe that once a man is elected to the Presidency there is no legal machinery for a recall movement, or for impeachment.

Well, for the information of those who are under that impression, I submit Section 4, Article II, of the Constitution of the United States, which states:

"The President, Vice President and all civil Officers of the United States, shall be removed from Office on Impeachment for, and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors."

The Constitution defines TREASON against the United States to . . . *"consist in levying War against them (the United States) or in adhering to their Enemies (and/or) GIVING THEM AID AND*

COMFORT" . . . it also declares that — "*Congress shall have the Power to declare the Punishment of Treason*".

Going back to Article One, Section Three, the Constitution declares: "*The Senate shall have the sole Power to TRY all Impeachments . . . Judgment in Cases of Impeachment shall not extend further than to removal from Office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any office of Honor, Trust or Profit under the United States — BUT THE PARTY CONVICTED SHALL NEVERTHELESS BE LIABLE AND SUBJECT TO INDICTMENT, TRIAL, JUDGMENT AND PUNISHMENT, ACCORDING TO LAW.*"

The same stipulations apply as well to all Judges, both of the Supreme and inferior Courts, who . . . "*shall hold their Offices (only) during good Behavior.*"

And that answers all questions about "legal machinery" to impeach traitors in high places — *under our constitution, we have no "sacred cows"!*

All the other letters and telegrams, roughly 76% of the 418, unreservedly "go along" with all the findings and conclusions in our (No. 71) "News-Bulletin".

It is my personal opinion that in those 418 letters and telegrams we have a true (poll) appraisal of *all* of the American people. I firmly believe that — *as former Senator Jenner frequently cried out* — IF we could get the full story of the Great Conspiracy to all of the American people at least 76 per cent, *plus the 11 percent who are unaware of the Constitutional "legal machinery" to impeach traitors in high places*, would gladly — *and angrily* — jump into the fight to smash the Conspiracy.

But that little word "IF" is our greatest problem — it tells *why* we can't get "the story" to the people.

(NOTE:—*Since the above was written our mail has reached the 1000 mark — all the percentages remain approximately the same. Ed.*)

"DON'T TELL THE PEOPLE!"

From time immemorial the one great fear of Despots and Dictators has been "The People". Nero trembled when "The People" murmured . . . England's Charles the First lost his head when "The People" became angry . . . ditto Louis and Marie Antoinette. His-

tory tells us that the one great cry of all political charlatans always was and always will be — “DON’T TELL THE PEOPLE!”

Always, the first step of a Lenin, a Stalin, a Hitler, even a Castro, is to seize control of the Press and all other mass communications media. As long as a Dictator can control what the people read and hear his dictatorship is safe. He uses the Press to brainwash the people into meekly, *even eagerly*, accepting his enslavement — he uses the Press to inflame the people against the patriots who might try to smash his enslavement.

A Free Press is the only sure-fire guardian of the Freedom of a Nation. It keeps the people informed — alerted — united. There is nothing that the charlatan in politics fears as much as an *honest* Free Press. Conversely, a *controlled* Press is the surest destroyer of the freedom of a Nation. It deludes the people — confuses them — poisons their minds—sets them one against another. In short, a controlled Press is the Dictator’s perfect instrument for the enslavement of the people. Hungary, let alone all the other once free states now behind the “Iron Curtain”, is a tragic example of what happens to a People once they lose a Free Press. The very existence of that “Iron Curtain” proves the Dictator’s terror of the truth breaking through to “The People”—both Lenin and Stalin knew that not all their Secret Police could have saved them when and if the TRUTH, *via a Free Press*, had ever broken through and aroused all “The People” in Russia.

And let there be no delusions about our own USA. We boast and prate — *and kid ourselves* — about *our* Free Press. But, with comparatively few (*and mostly minor*) exceptions, our Press is no more free than is that of Russia. There is just one difference: the Press in Russia is owned by the State, as the gangsters in the Kremlin like to call themselves — the Press in the United States is owned and/or controlled by the Masterminds of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy. But both have the same objective: *to keep the truth from the People*. They use different methods — but both achieve that same objective. “Pravda” and “Izvestia” do it brazenly, bluntly — The “New York Times” and “Washington Post” (*just two prime examples of our controlled Press*) do it with guile and craft. For one example: Boris Pasternak wrote a book that (faintly) spoke up for the freedom of the individual — the Russian press blasted Pasternak and the book as “*Enemy of the people*”, and it was promptly suppressed. John T. Flynn wrote a book that revealed the true story of “Pearl Harbor”. The American press refused to review it — those few that did review it, distorted it, reviled it, and branded Flynn, with craftily chosen words, as a liar and rabble-rouser. The booksellers (on orders) boycotted it — and Flynn’s book was just as suppressed in the United States as Pasternak’s was behind the “Iron Curtain”.

During the past quarter-century millions of words have been written and spoken about the Conspiracy to destroy the United States. But very few of those words got through to the masses. The books got the same (Flynn) vicious reviews and/or silent treatment — and boycott by the book-sellers . . . the (few) pro-American Magazines, such as “AMERICAN MERCURY”, get the same treatment . . . ditto public speakers, even such highly respected ones as Dean Manion and General Wedemeyer . . . as for pro-American and/or Anti-Communist plays and films — can you name just one that the press (Critics) failed to slaughter?

Let's take the “United Nations” for a prime example: the true story that the UN is a Trojan Horse within the United States, *that it was deliberately planted here to serve as a diplomatically immune base for the plot to destroy the United States*, has been told in books and plays (“Thieves’ Paradise” and “Red Rainbow”), in pamphlets and Tracts — the horrifying stories of the UN betrayals and murder of our sons in Korea have been told by distinguished and unimpeachable observers, by Generals Stratemeyer, Van Fleet, Marc Clark, by DOUGLAS MacARTHUR — *it all has been confirmed by the UN's own records*. But as each individual story was revealed it was vigorously denied (*with the tacit approval of the White House*) by our State Department, by the Pentagon, by our UN Ambassador, Henry Cabot Lodge (*who used, falsely, to call himself “Junior”*). And our “Free” Press distorted and slanted and smothered the stories — and heaped ridicule and vilification on those who did the revealing.

That happened when the true story of “Pearl Harbor” was revealed . . . it happened when the treason at Yalta was revealed . . . it happened when the immortal Joe McCarthy revealed the treason in our State Department, in our Defense Plants, in the Pentagon . . . it happened when Eisenhower instigated and led the smear attack (and Censure) on McCarthy — *in order to discredit and destroy the patriot and shield the traitors* . . . it is happening TODAY, as was revealed in our (No. 71) News-Bulletin.

If you have any doubts about all that, can you name just *one* important newspaper that exposed the swarm of Russian spies brought in here by the Moisoyev Dancers, the Bolshoi Ballet, by the Russian Exhibition in New York? . . . has our (Free) Press revealed how Sol Hurok used the Bolshoi Ballet and his other Russian troupes to finance Red Fronts and Communist activities? Of course not! Why didn't they? It would be ridiculous to say they didn't know. If I knew, surely, with their vast reportorial resources, they should have known it before I did.

Now I will cite the most *reprehensible* act of treason that opened our gates to that influx of Russian spies under cover of the “Cul-

tural Exchange" hoax: In the midst of the discussions for that "Exchange" (at the 1955 Summit Meeting in Geneva) the Russians brought up the matter of our requirement of fingerprints on all U. S. visa applications. That would have to be waived, the Russians insisted, because no self-respecting Communist dancers, skaters, musicians, etc., would allow themselves to be included in any "cultural" groups if they had to leave their fingerprints in the possession of the American authorities . . . *what they meant, of course, were the fingerprints of their spies!*

The Americans explained that the fingerprinting was required by our Immigration Laws and could not be waived — they pointed out that British, French, Italian delegations and athletic teams that came to America, while some may have grumbled a bit about it, went through with that requirement.

The Russians retorted: that's all right for the British, French and Italians — their teams and delegations do not include "security police", but Moscow could not permit *their* delegations and dance troupes to come to America without "security police". And there the matter stood — *until February 8, 1956, when PRESIDENT EISENHOWER asked Congress for permission to drop the fingerprint requirement!* . . . the request met with considerable opposition, but, finally, "to save the Cultural Exchange", it was granted in 1957. That "permission" reads as follows:

"PUBLIC LAW 85-316. SEC. 8: The Secretary of State and the Attorney General are hereby authorized, in their discretion and on a basis of reciprocity, pursuant to such regulations as they may severally prescribe, to waive the requirement of fingerprinting specified in Sections 221 (B) and 267 of the Immigration and Nationality Act, respectively, in the case of any non immigrant alien."

And, promptly, Russian "non immigrant" Communists began to pour in — Mikoyan, Kozlov, Krushchev, dancers, musicians, scientists, photographers, "industrialists" — *and swarms of Spies* — not one of them fingerprinted — all clothed with diplomatic immunity — all permitted to go wherever they pleased!

That "Public Law 85-316 Sec. 8" smashed our greatest barrier to Communist espionage — it dismantled all of our Internal Security fortifications! . . . Did our (Free) Press report that deliberate act of Treason to the American people? . . . *It did not!*

All that explains why we have never been able to get "the story" to the people.

In various of our "News-Bulletins" and other publications I revealed with minute detail and *documentary evidence* how our Press,

Radio, TV, *all our mass communications media*, are controlled by the Masterminds of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy, and how, especially since 1932, they have been brainwashing the American people into the surrender of one Freedom after another—and into transforming the White House into a Dictatorship that is (*eventually*) to enslave us in a Communist (UN) One World Government — and, I repeat, *that's why we have never been able to get "the story" to the people!*

And let's once and for all stop kidding ourselves — all that treason could not have been accomplished without the full collusion of the men we elected into the White House. Had we sent Douglas MacArthur or Bob Taft into the White House in 1952 we'd have a vastly different state of affairs today. In my report of that 1952 Republican Convention, I stated that the nomination was stolen from Taft in order to insure in the Presidency a man who could be depended upon to play "the game" of the Great Conspiracy.

We frequently hear fighting patriots despairingly cry out against the "apathy" of the American people, about their "complacency", their "softness", their "stupidity", their "lack of patriotism" — and ascribe to those "faults" in our present American people the reason for the drift of our nation into the trap of Communism. But the REAL reason is not any one of those things — the real reason is the one described in the preceding paragraphs. *The people just don't know!* They are sound asleep. They have been convinced that our only danger is from the Enemy Outside — they know nothing about the Enemy Within — they've been hypnotized into a serene belief that all our problems are being solved by Great God Ike — and so they slumber on.

But I have infinite faith in the American people. I am positive that when and if they are awakened to the truth the vast mass of them will explode into a rage and a fury that will smash the entire Conspiracy — that will drive every traitor and collaborator into terrified flight out of Washington and out of the country.

●

WE MUST AWAKEN THE PEOPLE

●

How, is the big problem — but it CAN be done. We've broken through their "iron curtain" before — we can do it again. To eliminate all doubt about that statement I will cite several instances:

The story of the Communist conspiracy in Hollywood has been told frequently—and just as frequently forgotten. Because of that, *and because it confirms the guilt of the Press*, it bears constant repeating.

Until 1947 virtually nobody in our entire nation was aware that the film industry (Hollywood) was the most zealous and most effective tool of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy. With hardly an exception, all the Moguls were in the Conspiracy up to their ears. Likewise, *hundreds* of the top Stars, Directors, Writers, Producers. And those who were not, had to remain silent — *or else!* Then, a comparatively minor spark set off the explosion that ripped the mask off that Conspiracy. Here is how it happened:

In 1947, after I had been blocked from producing my play "Red Rainbow", in New York, I decided to stage it in Los Angeles. The Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy instructed their Hollywood Commissars to "stop" me. The Commissars got busy. They warned all theatre owners in Greater Los Angeles to bar their theatres to me — they warned all actors that "Red Rainbow" was "taboo", on pain of being blacklisted on every Lot in Hollywood — *the Press was instructed to give me and the play the well-known silent treatment!*

The Reds in Hollywood were an arrogant lot in those days. They brazenly proclaimed that they'd never permit an anti-Communist play to open in Los Angeles. And that was their first great mistake. Congressman Parnell Thomas, then Chairman of the House Un-American Activities Committee, happened to be in Los Angeles at the time. The late Rupert Hughes brought the "Red Rainbow" matter to his attention. Thomas investigated — and set up a preliminary hearing at which he questioned various Stars, both friendly and hostile (Reds) ones. Convinced, he announced a full-dress hearing to be held in Washington. That announcement created a panic in all Red Circles. And that was when the Reds made their second great mistake: they hurriedly organized their infamous "*Committee for the First Amendment*" — and called in William Z. Foster, head of the Communist Party in America, to mastermind their "campaign" to prevent that hearing. They organized huge Rallies in baseball stadiums and various Auditoriums, at which the cream of Hollywood's celebrities denounced and vilified Thomas and the Congressional Committee . . . they appealed to their fans all over the nation, via Star-studded radio broadcasts, to flood Thomas and the Committee with denands to cancel the hearing . . . they told their plaintive tales of woe in full-page "ads" in various newspapers — which the newspapers backed up with pious editorials . . . *they (the Hollywood Commissars) warned Parnell Thomas that they would destroy him if he went through with the hearing.* But none of those "techniques", none of their threats, influenced Thomas. Thereupon, Mister Eric Johnston and the Moguls came up with a new, and, *they thought,* a brilliant strategy to discredit the Committee in the eyes of the people — *and that was their greatest mistake.*

On the very eve of the hearing, a "caravan" of the most famous of the Hollywood Reds, under the leadership of Humphrey Bogart and Danny Kaye, poured into Washington, with instructions to crowd into the hearing room — to heckle the Committee members — to revile the "friendly" witnesses — to encourage the "hostile" (RED) witnesses. *And the Press was instructed to play it all up!* The Red Stars carried out their orders to the letter. So did the Press. *But that strategy boomeranged!* The impertinent defiance of the "hostile" witnesses and the brazen impudence of the Red clique so infuriated the other spectators in that hearing room that, to use a colloquialism, it scared the pants off the Reds — it FORCED the Press to reveal the *true* story, which, in turn, created such a nationwide eruption of rage that it frightened the Moguls and Johnston into pious promises to "clean house" in Hollywood.

Well, the rest of the story is too well known to need repeating. The Moguls never fulfilled their pious promises — as, indeed, they never intended to. They merely waited until the people "forgot" — and then went right back to their brewing of Red treason. They even restored the notorious "Hollywood Ten" traitors to their lush jobs — *under fictitious names!*

The most important achievement of that first hearing was that it made the people aware of the treason being committed by "Hollywood". Unquestionably, that, all by itself, was a highly important achievement. But other than that it hardly scratched the surface of the Conspiracy. Except for the "Hollywood Ten", it failed to name the hundreds of Red traitors in the industry. It did nothing to smash the Conspiracy. It created no "machinery" to prevent further treason.

Nevertheless, it did have other great value for us: it revealed the one great weakness of the Reds: *their fear of "the people"* . . . it revealed that our most potent weapon to smash the entire Great Conspiracy is the the wrath of "the people". It revealed that "the people" are quick to anger *once they get "the story"* — but equally quick to forget, *unless constantly reminded*. It revealed that the only way to get "the story" to the people is through *open-to-the-public* Congressional hearings — that *that* is the only way we can FORCE the Press to tell "the story" to the people. *Most important*, it revealed that once the hearing is over — *and forgotten* — the Reds and their allies go right back to their treason activities.

We (CEG) realized all that within weeks — *indeed, days* — after that hearing, when we saw how the Press went completely silent about the whole matter — how the Moguls brazenly punished (blacklisted) all those who had testified against the Reds — and *rewarded* the Reds who had defied the Committee. And we realized that we would have to devise ways and means to FORCE further

and more effective Congressional hearings — which, in turn, would FORCE the Press to tell the *full* story to the people.

We had already published "RED TREASON IN HOLLYWOOD" (a book) in which we told the whole story — and *named* 300 of the top Red Stars . . . we also were issuing a monthly "News Bulletin" (magazine) in which we were trying to do the job our (Free) Press was supposed to do. But with all book stores and magazine vendors closed to us we were unable to get wide distribution — and to be effective we had to get *nation-wide* distribution. We had to find some way to break through that barrier. That was when we hit on the "Tract" idea — and issued our first "AMERICANS — DON'T PATRONIZE REDS" Tract, in which we provided a *condensed* version of the Conspiracy — *named* 200 of the Red Stars — and appealed to all loyal Americans to shun all theatres that would show Red Stars and Red films.

Now, of course, we knew that the Press would give this "Tract" the same silent treatment that they gave our book. But Press attention for it was vital. We had to find some way to FORCE it. So we borrowed Labor's most potent weapon — *the PICKET line!* We organized our members in various cities into "Picket Committees", and instructed them to picket the most important Premieres of films starring any of the Reds named in the "Tract" — and to hand copies of the "tract" to every would-be buyer of tickets. We theorized that the Press was bound to "front-page" such a "stunt". In Los Angeles we picketed "Death of a Salesman", a Frederic March picture — in Newark (New Jersey) we picketed a Charlie Chaplin premiere — in Beverly Hills we picketed "Moulin Rouge", a John Huston-Jose Ferrer film, etc., etc. . . . *and everybody who approached those theatres was handed a copy of that "Tract".*

That combination "stunt" hit the Press with the impact of an Atom Bomb! It was front-paged throughout the nation — not, of course, to help our crusade, but to "protest" our "vicious smear" of the Red Stars named in our "Tract". But it accomplished our objective—it carried "the story" to *all* of the American people. Within days we began to receive letters from all over the nation, ordering 100 Tracts — 200 — 500 — 1,000. Within a month a million copies of that "Tract" were circulating in all of the (then) 48 states. And in the weeks that followed an avalanche of the "Tract", *together with angry demands for action*, came pouring into every Congressional office in Washington . . . *And we got the action!* . . . After what had happened to Parnell Thomas, the other members of the House Un-American Activities Committee had decided that it would be wiser—*and politically expedient* — to forget all about "Hollywood." But as those "Tracts" and letters continued to pour in, the fears of Red revenge were overwhelmed by the fears of what "the people" would

do at the polls. We all remember what followed — the *weeks* of televised and front-paged hearings in Hollywood that fully confirmed our “story”—and identified as Reds all those we had named in the “Tract” and in “Red Treason in Hollywood”.

That Congressional hearing ripped the mask off the entire Conspiracy — it drove SCORES of the Red Stars off the Screen — it torpedoed the Red-collaborating Moguls off their thrones — and Communism in Hollywood was DEAD — killed by “the people” *after we got “the story” through to them.*

Now, it is true, as we warned in our “Urgent warning” (June 1959) that “THE REDS ARE BACK IN HOLLYWOOD”, but that very resurrection of the Hollywood Conspiracy proves my contention that the salvation of our country depends upon the people being *constantly informed and constantly alerted.* Here is the proof: after those Hollywood hearings the people assumed that the fight was won and over — and proceeded to forget all about it. But what the people don’t know is that the Reds never quit — that they wait until the people “forget”, then go right back to the same old plottings . . . *and so we have our job to do all over again!*

And that confirms another contention I’ve stressed and stressed and stressed through the years — that, with the Press and all our mass communications media controlled by the Enemy, the only way we can get “the story” of the Great Conspiracy to the people is through Congressional investigations — and that, with most of our politicians (*in Congress and State Legislatures*) dominated by the Minority Groups, the ADA, the ADL, NAACP and other Left-wing pressure organizations, the only way we can FORCE Congressional investigations is by the method we employed to achieve the Hollywood expose’ . . . As prima facie evidence to clinch both contentions. I will now cite one case that was so brazen, so audacious, so traitorous, and which came so near to success, that even now it leaves me frightened and horrified.

THE UNITED WORLD FEDERALISTS’ PLOT

For the edification of those of our readers who are unfamiliar with the background of the “United World Federalists”, that outfit was organized in Asheville, No. Carolina, in February 1947. The chief organizers were 42 notorious Reds and Fellow-Travelers, among them being Oscar Hammerstein, Walter Wanger, George Kaufman, Elmer Rice, Frederic March, Carl and Mark Van Doren (*Uncle and father of Charles Van Doren, the phony Quiz “genius”*), Nerman Cousins and Alan Cranston, now (to our shame) Comptroller of California.

The objective of the UWF, (*the job assigned to them by the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy*) was to seduce 32 State Legislatures, (*the two-thirds necessary under our Constitution*) to pass "Resolutions" which were to memorialize Congress to transform the United States into a unit of a Federated One-World Government. The bait in the plot was PEACE.

Naturally, the whole operation had to be "hush-hush". Their first step was to get one important State to pass their "Resolution". That would provide them with their "pilot" for the seduction of the other necessary 31 States. They decided upon California to be the "pilot" State. With Alan Cranston to mastermind the operation, they appointed Artie Samish, the most notorious Lobbyist in California history, to act as their paymaster — and provided him with unlimited funds. I won't go into all the details of how they accomplished it (*it was published in News-Bulletin No. 69; pages 19-24*), but in March 1949 the (California) Legislature passed the UWF "Resolution" *unanimously* — except for two State Senators: Jack B. Tenney and Hugh Donnelly.

The most important feature of that achievement was that it was accomplished with complete secrecy . . . *not a newspaper printed a word about it — not one Radio commentator mentioned it !!!*

By June of that year, *just three months later*, the UWF had seduced 25 additional Legislatures to pass (virtually) the same "Resolution" . . . *and still not one newspaper in any of those States printed a word about it — not one Radio commentator mentioned it!*

Early in that same month of June Senators Tenney and Donnelly served the (California) Senate Judiciary Committee with a demand that the "Resolution" be hauled out for a full review before the entire Legislature — a review of the "Resolution" — *and of the methods that had been employed to "railroad" it!* The Committee, after some forceful "persuasion", set June 27 for a hearing of the demand. At the hearing Tenney submitted overwhelming evidence, *prima facie*, as well as documentary, that the UWF was organized by Reds — *for subversive purposes*. Alan Cranston was forced to admit the Red complexion of the outfit—and its subversive (*he called it PEACE*) objectives. Despite all that, the Judiciary Committee arbitrarily — *and with brazen scorn* — rejected the demand for the review.

The Reds — *the hearing room was crowded with them* — were jubilant. They cat-called and jeered Tenney and Donnelly. The UWFers boasted that the "Resolution" was "in the bag" — that it was only a matter of weeks when they'd have the full 32 States. They further boasted that they had plenty of Solons in Washington (*Richard Nixon among them*) ready and waiting to railroad it through Congress. Oh, they were a brazenly confident lot that day!

Now, that hearing was covered by the Press — by the Sacramento correspondents of the Los Angeles and San Francisco newspapers, by the Wire Services, by Radio news-services . . . *but not one newspaper printed a word about it — not one Radio commentator mentioned it!*

That was when we (CEG) stepped into the picture. We launched a campaign to get “the story” to the people of California — *exactly the same kind of a campaign that FORCED the Congressional investigation of the Hollywood Red Conspiracy!*

And that was when the Press suddenly came awake — they “discovered” that “Myron C. Fagan and his Lunatic Fringe followers (are) out to sabotage efforts (of UWF) to insure the PEACE of the world” . . . the “Christian Science Monitor” devoted an entire Front-page to a vitriolic “expose” of “Myron C. Fagan, anti-semitic, anti-negro, hate monger etc., etc., . . . Chet Huntley, UWF’s chief Radio publicist, devoted his broadcasts to similar venom-dripping attacks.

All that only intensified our efforts to get “the story” to the people. We blanketed the state with our “News-Bulletins” and “Tracts.” The American Legion, the Veterans of Foreign Wars, the DAR and various patriotic groups, alerted by our “Tracts”, jumped into the fight — *and an ever growing volume of angry letters came pouring in on all the Assemblymen and Senators.* The outcome was inevitable — they didn’t DARE to ignore the VOTERS! . . . In March (1950), despite all the frenzied efforts of the UWF to prevent it, despite the frantic pressures of Samish, despite the furious objections of the UWF’s “bought and paid for” Assemblymen and Senators, the Legislature ordered an open-to-the-public hearing.

According to all the old timers in Sacramento, that hearing was the most sensational one in the history of the California Legislature. In addition to forcing rescission of the traitorous “Resolution”, it completely unmasked the UWF — it revealed the treason of (*and torpedoed*) their entire plot — it exposed the corruption of the Samish-controlled Solons who had railroaded the passage of that “Resolution” . . . it drove many of those Solons out of politics — and led to a prison term for Samish . . . *but more important, it FORCED the Press to report the story to the people — which FORCED immediate rescissions of that UWF “resolution” by 23 of the other State Legislatures.*

Do we need any more evidence that we cannot depend upon the Press (and Radio and TV) to tell “the story” to the people?

Shakespeare’s Hamlet said: “The play is the thing wherein I’ll catch the conscience of the King” . . . and I say: “The Congressional investigation is the thing wherein we’ll catch the betrayers of our Nation” — and find the salvation of our Country!

IMPEACHMENT "HEARINGS" WILL DO EVEN MORE!

When I first read George B. Fowler's letter to Senator Saltonstall demanding impeachment proceedings against President Eisenhower, I was startled. It was such a daring idea. My first thought was that it was *too* daring for *the people* to accept. Then I thought — *why should it be?* There most certainly is plenty of *surface evidence* that Eisenhower is, *and always was*, a puppet and a tool of the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy. And as I continued to ponder it, I began to visualize and realize how the impeachment of Eisenhower could be the solution of our entire problem. It would unmask the entire Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy exactly as the present Congressional hearings are unmasking the entire TV Quiz Fraud. Just as these hearings have revealed that Charles Van Doren, erstwhile darling of the American (*TV audiences*) people, was a well-paid, willing puppet of the masterminds of the great Quiz fraud, so would impeachment hearings reveal the degrees of Eisenhower's collusion with the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy . . . just as the hearings of the TV Quiz fraud have unmasked and revealed the identities of the Masterminds of that fraud, so would the impeachment hearings unmask and reveal the identities of the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy. They would unmask and reveal the Earl Warrens and Frankfurters, the Javitses and Emmanuel Cellers and all the other puppets and tools of the Masterminds — they would reveal ALL the traitors in Washington, in New York, *everywhere* — they would serve as a great and *frightening* object lesson for all the politicians who place their jobs above loyalty to Country — *they would completely awaken the people*. In short . . .

The impeachment of Dwight D. Eisenhower — indeed, just the hearings — could be the salvation of our Country !!!

Now, just to take the word "daring" out of the "impeach Eisenhower" idea, let me point out that we have an excellent precedent for it in the case of the identical impeachment proceedings against President Andrew Johnson in 1866 . . . *perhaps it may be even more important to stress how the hearings of the Johnson impeachment proceedings went a great way to re-unite and preserve our Nation; to-wit:*

Andrew Johnson was a Southerner by birth. When Abraham Lincoln chose him to be his running mate, he was bitterly opposed by all the hate-the-South elements in the (North) nation. But Lincoln prevailed. Then Lincoln was assassinated. Johnson became President of the United States. Immediately, the "opposition" to Johnson became bitter hatred. Every move he made to ease tensions between the North and South was construed as favoring the South — *and treason to the North*.

At that time, Congress, naturally, was composed mainly of Northern Republicans. Some of them were natural haters of the South — some owed their elections to the Abolitionist elements — none owed any political obligations to the South. Thus, when the poisonously vindictive Thaddeus Stevens set out to destroy Andrew Johnson, he found it quite easy to organize an impeachment movement.

Now, with all the odds against him, it was quite reasonable for the hate-the-South boys in that Congress — indeed, in the nation — to assume that Johnson would be impeached overwhelmingly, even unanimously. But the plot boomeranged — just as Hamlet's play caught the conscience of the King, so did the impeachment hearings catch the conscience of the American people — the hearings revealed that Johnson had only been carrying out Lincoln's "blueprint" for the reconciliation of the North and the South, and, therefore, for the reconstruction *and salvation of the Union*. The Press duly reported the findings. The people reacted immediately. Johnson was cleared — by one vote . . . and the unmasked plotters were driven out of public life.

And just as the impeachment proceedings against Johnson revealed the true conditions of those days, just so can impeachment proceedings against Eisenhower reveal the true conditions of TODAY! . . . *The salvation of our Country depends upon it !!!*

IKE MYTH MUST BE SMASHED!

Unquestionably, his "popularity" is Eisenhower's protection. There are many men in Congress today who no longer have any illusions about the "great" Ike — *and who are fully aware of his relationships with the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy* — but even the most courageous of them would be fearful of "public opinion" if they were to initiate impeachment proceedings against him. Only a general revulsion will give them the courage to take such a step. And that kind of a revulsion can come only if we get the *true story* of Dwight D. Eisenhower to the people. *It can be done!* Exactly as it was done with the Hollywood Red Conspiracy — as it was done with the UWF treason plot! YOU — and YOU — and YOU can do it! And in the following pages I will provide you with the "ammunition" with which to do it.

First, however, let me point out that already there is a constantly growing change in public opinion about Eisenhower. Less and less do we hear him spoken of as a "military genius" — especially since the memoirs of Viscount Alanbrooke and General Montgomery and Winston Churchill, and various other authorities, have fully confirmed that as a military leader he was just a mediocre golf player.

Less and less do we hear about his genius as an Administrator — it's no longer a secret that Sherman Adams, placed in his job by the Masterminds, was the real head of the Government — that all Ike did was blindly sign all administrative orders. Oh, of course, he still has his "worshippers", but today that worship is considerably diluted. Today, many of those who viewed him as a God-man are apologists more than worshippers. As I have stated in an earlier page, they admit that they had over-estimated his "genius", but still insist that he has always meant well — that he is a nice man, a kindly man, an honest man — and they still love his "*warm and friendly grin*". But when and if they will come to realize that that grin is as phony as was Roosevelt's smile — that he is not nice, not kindly, not honest, but is instead a sanctimonious hypocrite without scruple and without honor — that he has *deliberately* been pied-piping us into a Communist One-World movement, they will be so infuriated that impeachment will be the least of the punishments they will demand for him.

Now let's go back into the very beginning of his career for the evidences of his hypocrisy, of his sanctimony, of his unscrupulous opportunism, of his acts of TREASON!

CATHOLIC — OR PRESBYTERIAN — OR WHAT?

Dwight Eisenhower was born of a Mennonite father and a Jehovah's Witnesses' mother. Both Sects are strongly Pacifist. But young Dwight chose War (West Point) for his life's work. Well, I suppose *that* can't be held against him — we all have the right to choose our own religious beliefs.

However, during the past ten years I have met and spoken with many of Ike's West Point classmates. Not one could recall that Ike had ever shown interest in *any* religious services. I won't say that that means he was an atheist, but it does establish that he had no leanings toward any particular form of religion. Of course, that, too, was his privilege — but here comes the topper:

Upon his graduation Ike was assigned to duty in San Antonio, Texas. Shortly after his arrival in that city, he learned that the St. Louis College in San Antonio (*now St. Marys University*) was on the lookout for a new football coach. Ike had played in one or two football games at West Point — until he sprained an ankle and decided the game was too rough for him. However, that made him eligible for the job at St. Louis College — and having recently married Mamie Dowd, a little extra income was very desirable. But St. Louis College was a Catholic school. Naturally, they'd prefer to have a Catholic coach their team. So, lo and behold, Dwight D. Eisenhower was a Catholic. He devoutly attended Mass every

morning — and performed in all other ways like a good practicing Catholic. *He got the job.* At the end of the season he was transferred to a training center in Pennsylvania — and Dwight D. Eisenhower was no longer a Catholic . . . *if that wasn't sanctimonious hypocrisy I don't know what you could call it!*

NOTE: For DOCUMENTARY proof of the above story, the reader is referred to "SAN ANTONIO-CITY IN THE SUN", a biography of San Antonio, by Green Peyton, published by McGraw-Hill in 1946. Ed.

But, wait — as Al Jolson used to say, you ain't heard nothin' yet . . . according to very reliable information, throughout all the years that followed, Ike never attended a religious service of any kind. But when he became a candidate for the Presidency he suddenly became a devout (?) Presbyterian!

He posed as a Catholic to land a fifty dollar a month job — then he posed as a Protestant to land the job of President . . . *I'll let the reader decide for himself the degree of this man's "integrity".*

DEMOCRAT — REPUBLICAN — OR WHAT?

So now let's go into Eisenhower's political "integrity". By his own admission he voted only once before 1952 — and that, *so he claimed*, was merely to express his admiration for his great patron, Franklin Roosevelt. Be that as it may, that vote recorded him as a Democrat.

But that is not the only instance that tied him in with the Democrat Party; to-wit: When Truman came back from his Potsdam Summit Meeting he announced that he had had a heart-to-heart discussion with the then General and was so deeply impressed by his "great mentality" that he had offered him the 1952 Democratic nomination — and that Ike had eagerly accepted the offer . . . *Eisenhower did not deny it.*

Unquestionably, when Truman made Ike that offer he merely had been "obeying orders" — the Masterminds of the Great Conspiracy had long decided upon Ike to be their next "boy" in the White House. But in 1950 those "gentlemen" were confronted with a new and very serious problem: a growing movement in Republican circles to draft Douglas MacArthur to be their standard bearer in 1952. Eisenhower — *on orders, of course* — rushed to the rescue. He issued a statement in which he issued a warning against a military man in the White House — he gravely proclaimed that "*by the very reason of his training, a military man in the White House would be a danger to the nation*". But it had no effect. And it soon became apparent that it wasn't just enthusiasm for MacArthur that was sweeping through the nation, but a rebellion against the corrupt Democratic Administration. In short, the Democratic Party was deep

in the doghouse. The Masterminds realized that nobody, *but nobody*, running as a Democrat could be elected President in 1952. They had to get control of the Republican Party. But they would also have to get a "stooge" of stature whom they could build up to offset MacArthur, or, as it turned out, Bob Taft . . . *and so Eisenhower became a "Republican"*.

Then they started the build-up for Ike. One by one, they sent various of their "Republican" tools (Tom Dewey, Lodge, Paul Hoffman, Brother Miltie, among them) to "visit" Ike at his Nato headquarters — to "coax" him to accept the Republican nomination. They all came back with gloomy "reports" — *properly front-paged, of course* — that "*Ike is not interested in politics*" — that he would be "*awfully hard to get*". The Press so glamorized those "reports" that Ike himself began to believe them. He decided to give "body" to those reports: "forgetting" all about his warnings against a military man in the White House, and without consulting the Masterminds, he bombastically announced that, although not really interested in the job, he would accept the Presidency of the United States — *but only IF both Parties would nominate him*.

The roar of raucous laughter that greeted that announcement shocked and confused him. After a brief interval, and again apparently all on his own, he magnanimously reduced his terms to: he *might* accept the Republican nomination, but he would not *compete* for it — it would have to be submitted to him by *unanimous acclaim*.

Again he got a raucous horse laugh. And that was when Tom Dewey, on orders from the Masterminds, took him in hand — and Eisenhower stopped being coy. After that he said what he was told to say — and did whatever he was told to do.

Now, what happened at the (1952) Convention in Chicago should forever have disillusioned all of the American people about the "great" Ike. In our August issue I rendered a detailed report of everything that happened before and during the Convention. I pulled no punches. I reported how the Convention was taken over by a small gang of American renegades, self-described as "Liberals". I won't resort to the Greeks for a better word to describe them — their names (Dewey, Sherman Adams, Winthrop Aldrich, Stassen, Warren, etc.) are far more revealing than any word the Greeks may have to fit them. I described with minute detail the skulduggery, the Chicaneries, the thieveries, the blackmail, that enabled them to achieve the take-over of the Convention — *and of the Party . . .* I revealed the "deals" which enabled them to steal the nomination for their phony war hero. I particularly stressed the one with Earl Warren, warning that the first official act of *that* political charlatan would be his infamous (1954) Desegregation Decision — and that it would be followed by other "Decisions" that would shield, and render aid and comfort to the Communist Conspiracy.

I was not alone in that report. Every nationally known reporter on that scene, Pegler, Sokolsky, Bob Considine, etc., etc., told the same story. True, none scored the rotten "deals" as I did, none went as far as my dire predictions of the evils to come if Eisenhower would be elected, but none failed to tie him in with every vicious act that was perpetrated at that Convention.

Unfortunately — and TRAGICALLY — neither the American people, *nor the Senators who approved Eisenhower's appointment of Warren*, gave heed to those warnings.

The reason for it is simple: the moment the Eisenhower nomination was achieved, all the political reporters were muzzled — and the Press, Radio and TV launched their "brainwashing" operation to convince us that in the Great Ike we would have the "savior" all of us had been clamoring for . . . *the "savior" who ever since then has been nudging us into the One-World trap of the Internationalist-Communist Conspiracy.*

If you have the slightest doubt that the Masterminds possess that kind of power, ask the publisher of your local newspaper if he dares to defy the dictates of the "Anti-Defamation League" — if he dares to ignore the ADL's control of the advertising budgets of your local Department stores and other volume advertisers . . . *the ADL is the Gestapo of the Internationalist-Communist Great Conspiracy!*

•

—————

•

—————

•

GROUND FORS IMPEACHMENT

•

To avoid all argument, let's say that nothing in all of the foregoing can serve as a sound basis for impeachment proceedings.

To many, that suggestion may seem to be incredible. After all, they may say, the findings in the foregoing have established that Eisenhower is a sanctimonious hypocrite, an unscrupulous opportunist and political charlatan, that he is devoid of all sense of honor and decency. But that is not enough. Because to the average politician — *and all the men in Congress who'd have to try him ARE politicians* — all those immoralities are "tricks of the trade" in the game of politics. Let's take Bob Taft for an example. Taft himself was a man of high moral principles. He was fully aware of all the crookedness and rotten chicaneries practised by Eisenhower and his mentors at that 1952 Convention; yet, because he, too, accepted all that as "political strategy", he went all-out to elect the man who had robbed him of the nomination. Thus, you see, we will have to go way beyond Eisenhower's lack of morals to provide grounds for impeachment proceedings — we will have to establish his actual acts of TREASON. Very well — here goes:

No. 1) By itself, we will accept (as Taft did) Eisenhower's Convention "deal" with Warren as so-called "political strategy". But

what developed out of that "deal", whether premeditated or not, was TREASON just as deliberate as was Pierre Laval's collaboration with Hitler, to-wit: One of the prime objectives of the Enemy is to create strife and dissension throughout our Land — *especially between Negroes and Whites*. Warren's first official act as Chief Justice of the U. S. Supreme Court was the "Desegregation Decision". We know how that "Decision" exploded the South into racial strife — we know that it was planned and plotted to do just that — we know that the ADL, the American Jewish Committee, the NAACP, *all tools of the Enemy*, were in on that plot, *they boasted about it*. Eisenhower could have stopped it — simply by refusing to enforce the "Decision". *Instead, he handed the Enemy a terrific victory by his invasion of Little Rock . . . that was TREASON!!!*

No. 2:) One after another, Warren and the other Left-wing Justices (*appointed by Eisenhower*) issued "Decisions" which cleared away all obstacles to the Communist Conspiracy. That all that was "*rendering aid and comfort to the Enemy*" is too light a charge — *it was outright TREASON!* Eisenhower did nothing to stop that treason! But when Senator Jenner submitted a Bill "to curb the powers of the Supreme Court" — a Bill which was to have been the springboard for impeachments — *the White House frenziedly masterminded the campaign to defeat that Bill (by one vote) . . . that was TREASON!*

No. 3:) in 1953, when the immortal Joe McCarthy was in the midst of exposing the spies and traitors in the State Department, in the Pentagon, in our Defense plants (*Peress, Fort Monmouth, etc.*), it was "Executive Orders" by Eisenhower that closed all the files of Army Intelligence, of Navy Intelligence, of the FBI. Not satisfied with that, it was Eisenhower who gave the order for that infamous "trial" and "Censure by the Senate" — in order to "kill off" McCarthy — and prevent all further expositions of the treason being perpetrated in the very heart of our Defenses against the Communist Conspiracy . . . *that was TREASON!*

No. 4:) In 1954, Kruschchev and the entire Kremlin gang were skating on thin ice. All the peoples behind the Iron Curtain were showing more and more restlessness. There were riots in East Germany, in Poland, in the Balkans. Danger for the Communist Conspiracy was in the air — the undergrounds in all the Satellites were getting ready to explode. There was only one thing that could stop that: "proof" that the patriots in all those enslaved states could not get support or even sympathy, from the West, *especially America!* The "Geneva Summit Meeting" was set up to provide that "proof". Eisenhower knew it. He went to that meeting . . . he clasped the bloody hands of Kruschchev, Bulganin, Zhukov . . . he palsy-walsied with them . . . he exchanged toasts of warm friendship with them

. . . he allowed himself to be photographed in virtual embraces with them — photographs which he *knew* would be blown up and shown throughout the world. Yes, sir, at that Summit Meeting our “great humanitarian”, Eisenhower, *showed* the whole world that Moscow was absolutely safe from interference by the United States — *and that was confirmed a year later by the massacres in Hungary!*

I don't have to say what that did to the morale of the peoples in the Moscow-enslaved states . . . what effect it had on the peoples in Asia and Africa . . . I don't have to say — *that was TREASON!*

No. 5:) I have already covered the “Cultural Exchange Hoax” — how Eisenhower opened our gates to an influx of Russian spies and saboteurs by secretly abrogating our hitherto rigid requirement of fingerprints on all visas. That was treason akin to that of Quisling when that traitor opened the gates of Norway to the Nazis — *for which he was hanged!*

On top of all that, we have Eisenhower's War record. In “*The Eisenhower Myth*”, and various of our “News-Bulletins”, I established, with prima facie and documentary evidence, that his greatest concern in that war seemed to be the matter of holding back all allied armies so as to enable the Russians to “capture” the Balkans and Eastern Germany, *particularly Berlin*. Winston Churchill and Marshal Montgomery have *verified that!* To provide even more startling confirmation, I now quote a statement by the late General George Patton, as recorded by his nephew, Frederick Ayer, Jr., in his book, “YANKEE G-MAN”:

“For weeks Poles, Hungarians, Czechs, Austrians and others have been coming in droves to my headquarters. They begged me to come into their countries and occupy them before the Russians got there. They told me that otherwise there would be no chance in the world for them to set up free representative governments. They said, practically on their knees, with tears in their eyes, ‘Come into our country now or we are finished.’

“We should have done what these people asked. We could, quite morally, have torn up a few damned fool agreements and gone into all those places. We could have said that we were doing it to save the lives of a lot of our allies still fighting on the Eastern front. We could have done it then, and we should do it right now. Of course it might mean a war, but I have seen what is left of their armies. They are largely horse-drawn, their air force is almost non-existent, and their munitions stocks are low. Sure, it might mean a war, but the Third Army and First alone could smash them in six weeks and have very few casualties. I'm afraid that the day will come when it will take six years and cost six million American lives.” LL

“When cautioned that this was not a popular statement, General Patton replied:

“I'll speak in any damned way I see fit when I know I'm telling the truth.”

Unquestionably, General Patton was our greatest, *and the most effective*, General in the European theater of World War II. Obviously, had he been the Supreme Commander of the Allied forces, *as he should have been*, we never would have had a Berlin problem — the Balkan states would not have been enslaved by Moscow — we would not today have a Russian problem — a cold war — and a constantly threatening Third World (Nuclear) War. Furthermore, had George Patton lived to return to America Dwight Eisenhower would not have tried to become the President of the United States — *Patton's "little black book" would have stopped him dead in his tracks!*

Perhaps — *and there are many stories to that effect* — that's why Patton didn't live to return.

"THEY SAID IT COULDN'T BE DONE . . ."

How many times have you heard that TV commercial?

There is an amazing philosophy in that claptrap cigarette advertisement — it taunts us with the most prevalent flaw in our mentality — it tells us that when we are confronted with what *looks* like an insuperable obstacle we tell ourselves "*it couldn't be done*" and let it go at that. And then that same claptrap ad, with its triumphant cry of: "*But L and M did it,*" jeeringly tells us that if we have the guts to do it, we can do *anything*.

That same flaw was prevalent even way back in antiquity: when Alexander the Great said he would solve (untie) the Gordian Knot, "*they (the people) said it couldn't be done!*" Alexander cut it with his sword — and proved that it could be done.

Many centuries later, Robert Bruce determined to free Scotland from English bondage. "*They said it couldn't be done*" — but, after many hardships and heartbreaking attempts, he did it.

In our own history, when George Washington and his little band of patriots were freezing and starving at Valley Forge, many people told him to give up his fight for the freedom of the Colonies — "*they said it couldn't be done.*" But Washington said it *could* be done — *and he did it!*

Now, I fully realize that the vast majority of the American people will (*at first*) recoil with horror from just the mere *suggestion* of trying to impeach a President of the United States — they'll all cry out "*it couldn't be done!*" But, even though I don't smoke Vice-roy cigarettes, "*I am a man who thinks for himself*", and I am positive that it CAN be done—*if the people will want it done!* Our Constitution says it can be done.

Furthermore, the case of Andrew Johnson PROVES that it can be done. True, he escaped actual impeachment — but only because the *hearings* proved him to be innocent of the charges.

Now I will provide another case, from more recent history, to

prove that it CAN be done: In 1920 Warren G. Harding was elected President of the United States. The circumstances and methods that brought Harding into the Presidency were quite similar to those that landed Eisenhower in the White House. Harding was a stupid fool with a lot of charm — *and no scruples!* The men who picked him for the Presidency were a gang of corrupt politicians who wanted a man in the White House who'd stand by and let them loot the nation. But those men were not as crafty as the conspirators behind Eisenhower. Nor did they have the power to muzzle the Press — and keep the people brainwashed. So it wasn't long after Harding took office that stories of the looting began to seep out. Soon there were Congressional investigations — and the whole thing exploded into what was called the "Teapot Dome Scandal".

In the midst of the investigations, Harding left on "a tour of the nation", hoping that by the time he returned to Washington the whole thing would have died down. But when he arrived in San Francisco he found it hadn't died down — *that it wouldn't die down* — that the machinery for his impeachment was all set and waiting for his return. The following day he was dead — of a heart attack, his medic said. But that medic lied — just as in 1944 the medics lied when they announced that Roosevelt was in perfect health. Harding wasn't impeached — but he escaped it only by committing suicide.

Now, compared to the Great Conspiracy going on today, the "Teapot Dome" plot was a piddling affair. Certainly, it didn't threaten the life of the nation. But those Congressional investigations unmasked every one of those crooked politicians. It sent some to prison—some to suicide—it drove all of them out of public life.

That's what impeachment proceedings against Eisenhower would do. The Congressional hearings would expose and explode the entire Conspiracy — it would unmask every traitor involved in it — *it would be the salvation of our Country!*

But there is only one way to accomplish that — *and that is by getting the true Eisenhower story to the people.* Only the people can force Congress to do the job. They can do it the same way that they FORCED Congress to expose, *and smash*, the Red Conspiracy in Hollywood . . . they can do it the same way that they FORCED the California Legislature to expose, *and smash*, the "United World Federalists" plot.

The Press won't tell that story to the people — they'll do everything they can to keep it from them. But YOU — and YOU — and YOU can do it.

What do you say? It's a tough job, *a very tough job*, but it means the salvation of our Country — the Country you and I love. Are we willing to go all-out to accomplish this job?

What do you say?

ORDER FROM:
CPA BOOK PUBLISHER
P. O. BOX 596
BORING, OR 97009